arXiv:1511.01233v2 [math.AP] 30 Mar 2016

DETECTING ANISOTROPIC INCLUSIONS THROUGH EIT

JAN CRISTINA AND LASSI PÄIVÄRINTA

Abstract. We study the evolution equation $\partial_t u = -\Lambda_t u$ where Λ_t is the Dirichlet–Neumann operator of a decreasing family of Riemannian manifolds with boundary. We derive a lower bound for the solution of such an equation, and apply it to a quantitative density estimate for the restriction of harmonic functions on $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma_0$ to the boundaries of $\partial\Sigma_t$. Consequently we are able to derive a lower bound for the difference of the Dirichlet–Neumann maps in terms of the difference of a background metrics g and an inclusion metric $g + \chi_\Sigma(h - g)$ on a manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

The Calderón problem asks whether one can determine the coefficients σ of a boundary value problem on a Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma du) = 0 \qquad u|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = f \tag{1}$$

from knowledge of the map $\Lambda_\sigma : f \mapsto \partial_\nu u$, where ∂_ν is the outward unit normal. This map is called the Dirichlet–Neumann (DN) map. In the event that σ is a positive definite tensor, and the dimension of the manifold is greater than 2, then this is equivalent to determining a Riemannian metric from the Dirichlet–Neumann map.

The DN-map can be formulated weakly as

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_g(f_1)(f_2)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} du_1 \wedge \star_g du_2, \tag{2}$$

where $\star_g$ is the Hodge star associated to g. It can be seen that very little regularity must be assumed, and in fact a bounded measurable metric is already enough to pose the question, as then we are guaranteed existence and uniqueness for the boundary value problem (1).

We study a Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$, and its associated Dirichlet–Neumann map Λ. Given a smooth submanifold with boundary of the same dimension, Σ and a Riemannian metric h on Σ, we can consider $\mathcal{M}$ with the bounded measureable metric $g + \chi_\Sigma(h - g)$, where χ_Σ is the characteristic function of Σ. The main result of the paper is the following Theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $\Sigma \subset\subset \mathcal{M}$ be a compactly contained C^4 subdomain which is an n-dimensional submanifold with boundary in a compact n-dimensional C^4 manifold with boundary $\mathcal{M}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ (Σ, h) be C^3-smooth Riemannian manifolds. Let $g/R \leq h \leq Rg$ and let h be K Lipschitz (with respect to g) on Σ. There are positive numbers C_1, and C_2 which depend on $\mathcal{M}$, g, R, Σ and K such that*

$$\sup_{x\in\partial\Sigma} |h - g|_g(x) \leq C_1 |\log \|\Lambda_{\mathcal{M},g} - \Lambda_{\mathcal{M},h}\||^{-1/C_2},$$

where $|h - g|_g(x) = \sup_{X\in T_x\mathcal{M}} |h(X, X) - g(X, X)|/g(X, X)$.

Jan Cristina was supported by the Finnish Academy Center of Excellence in Inverse Problems, and the Finnish Cultural Foundation grant "Harmonic maps, coordinate gauges and anisotropic inverse problems". Lassi Päivärinta was supported by the European Research Council-2010 Advanced Grant 267700 - InvProb (Inverse Problems).

Calderón first posed his problem in [4] (republished in [5]). There he considered the problem of determining the conductivity of an object, by measuring the resulting current arising from a voltage distribution applied to the surface. This was modelled by a Euclidean domain Ω and a scalar conductivity, σ, so that the resulting voltages satisfy the conductivity equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma \operatorname{grad} u) = 0$. Since then much progress has been made in the case of scalar conductivities, [16],[1],[10],[6].

Also of interest are anisotropic conductivities, where σ is a symmetric positive definite tensor; these provide a more accurate model of certain materials e.g. muscle or nerve tissue [11, 8]). The most complete analysis of this problem in two-dimensions is [2]. In higher dimensions, as mentioned, this is equivalent to trying to determine the Riemannian metric of a manifold with boundary. An obvious first obstruction, of course, is the invariance of the problem under boundary fixing diffeomorphisms. I.e. given $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and a boundary fixing diffeomorphism Φ, then

$$\Lambda_g = \Lambda_{\Phi^* g}.$$

Progress on this front, has been made in the case of real-analytic manifolds and metrics [12], and in distinguishing between representatives within a conformal class of certain admissible manifolds in [7]. But the methods used therein require rather strong assumptions on the regularity and geometry of the manifold respectively. Of course the results are significantly stronger.

The proof of the main theorem follows from a quantitative density estimate for the restriction of harmonic functions to the boundary of a manifold on the interior of $\mathcal{M}$.

More precisely, we construct a map $\varphi : \partial\mathcal{M} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$, which is a diffeomorphism onto its image, for which $\varphi(x,0) = x$. Denoting by Σ_t the set $\mathcal{M} \setminus \varphi(\partial\mathcal{M} \times [0,t))$, and assuming that $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_1$ and $\partial\Sigma \cap \partial\Sigma_1$ is an $(n-1)$ manifold in a neighbourhood of a point x, we use the following result to prove the theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : [0,1] \times \partial\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a C^4 diffeomorphism onto its image, let g be a $C^{2,1}$ metric tensor. Let $\Sigma_t = \mathcal{M} \setminus \varphi(\partial\mathcal{M} \times [0,t))$. There are numbers C_1 and C_2 depending on φ, $\mathcal{M}$ and g, such that for every $f \in H^1(\Sigma_1)$ $\|f\|_{1/2} = 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $u \in H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1} - f\|_{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$$

and $\|u\|_{1/2} \leq e^{C_1(\|f\|_1/(\varepsilon\|f\|_{1/2}))^{C_2}}$.

The proof of theorem 2 involves several key observations. The first is that given a harmonic function $u : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = f$, it satisfies the *tautological evolution equation*

$$\partial_t u_t = -\eta_t \Lambda_t u_t + X_t u_t \qquad u_0 = f, \tag{3}$$

where $u_t(x) = u(\varphi(x,t))$, Λ_t is Dirichlet–Neumann operator for (Σ_t, g) whose unit outward normal is given by ν_t, $\eta_t = g(\varphi_* \partial_t, \nu_t)$, X_t is a derivation given by the projection of $\varphi_* \partial_t$ to $\partial\Sigma_t$.

We are able to derive a lower bound for solutions of (3), by considering the evolution of $(\|u_t\|_1/\|u_t\|_0)^2$ and judiciously applying Grönwall's lemma.

The density result then follows by iterating the lower bound with evolution from the exterior boundary to the interior boundary, and vice versa.

Theorem 1 then follows by constructing functions on $\partial\Sigma_1$ which are supported in a neighbourhood of x, and see the inclusion at $\partial\Sigma$. Care must be shown when choosing the functions as the metric $g + (h-g)\chi_\Sigma$ is not smooth on Σ_1, and hence Theorem 2 cannot be applied as is.

1. Preliminaries

We define Sobolev spaces on our manifolds via a smooth (that is as smooth as the manifold allows) partition of unity ψ_i, supported on a set U_i with a coordinate chart $\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$. A measurable function u is in $H^s(\mathcal{M})$ if $\psi_i \circ u \circ \varphi_i$ is in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for every s. If $\mathcal{M}$ is C^k then this is

valid for $s \in [0,k]$. For brevity's sake whenever $C^{k,1}$ is implied for $k=-1$ we intend it to mean L^∞.

For $s \in [0,k-1]$ we can define the spaces $H^s(\mathcal{M},\Lambda^l\mathcal{M})$ to be the space of measurable l-forms α for which $\varphi_i^*\psi_i\alpha \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n_+,\Lambda^l\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We define the spaces $H^s(\partial\mathcal{M})$ and $H^s(\partial\mathcal{M},\Lambda^l\partial\mathcal{M})$ similarly. We define the space

$$H^{-s}(\partial\mathcal{M},\Lambda^l\partial\mathcal{M})$$

to be the dual of $H^s(\partial\mathcal{M},\Lambda^{n-1-l}\partial\mathcal{M})$ for $s\in[0,k-1]$. This negates the need for a volume form which will be important in the low regularity case for $s\in[0,k-1]$. If $l=0$ then this definition can be extended to $s\in[0,k]$. A norm $\|\cdot\|_s$ is fixed, although it is not particularly important which one. For instant $\langle(I+\Delta)^s\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ where Δ is some fixed Laplace–Beltrami operator.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be C^{k+1}, Given a metric tensor $g\in C^{k-1,1}$, let $s\in[1/2,k+3/2]$. For every $f\in H^s(\partial\mathcal{M})$ the boundary value problem

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} du\wedge\star d\varphi = 0 \qquad u|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = f \tag{4}$$

has a unique solution u which is in $H^{s+1/2}(\mathcal{M})$. This is a standard result in second order elliptic partial differential equations [9]. We define $\mathcal{E}_g(f) := u$ to be the solution operator. The Dirichlet–Neumann map is given by

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_g(f_1)(f_2)\,d\mathrm{vol} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}_g(f_1)\wedge\star_g d\mathcal{E}_g(f_2).) \tag{5}$$

If g is only bounded and measurable it is only defined as a map $H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})\to H^{-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M},\Lambda^{n-1})$ and we write $\Lambda_g(f_1)(f_2)$.

Lemma 3. *Let $k\geq 1$. Let g be a $C^{k-1,1}$ metric tensor, and $\mathcal{M}$ is C^{k+1}. The Dirichlet–Neumann map for $(\mathcal{M},g)$ is given by*

$$\Lambda = \Delta_0^{1/2} + R,$$

where $R: H^s\to H^s$ for $s\in[-k-1/2,k+1/2]$, and Δ_0 is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $\partial\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. We will prove that $\mathcal{E}(u)=\mathcal{E}_0(u)+\mathcal{R}(u)$ where $\mathcal{E}_0: H^{s+1/2}\to H^{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{R}: H^{s+1/2}\to H^{s+2}$. First let $\varphi:\partial\mathcal{M}\times[0,\varepsilon]$ be a $C^{k+1,1}$ diffeomorphism into $\mathcal{M}$, such that $\varphi_*\partial_t = -\nu$ at $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Such a difeomorphism exists by the tubular-neighbourhood or collar neighbourhood theorems [3] [13, Thm 6.17]. Then define an extension function $\mathcal{E}_0$ by taking

$$\mathcal{E}_0 u_\lambda(\varphi(x,t)) = \psi(t)e^{-\lambda t}u_\lambda(x),$$

where ψ is a smooth non-negative cut-off function equal to one in a neighbourhood of 0, and 0 in a neighbourhood of ε, and $\Delta_0 u_\lambda = \lambda^2 u_\lambda$.

Then consider $\mathcal{E}(u)=\mathcal{E}_0(u)+h$ where $h\in H^1_0(\mathcal{M})$. But $\mathcal{E}(u)$ is the solution operator for Δ, the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{M}$, so

$$\Delta h = -\Delta\mathcal{E}_0 u.$$

Because $g\in C^{k,1}$, $u_\lambda\in C^{k+1}(\partial\mathcal{M})\cap H^{k+2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$ [9]. Consequently, $\mathcal{E}_0(u_\lambda)\in H^{k+2}(\mathcal{M})$. Then

$$\Delta\mathcal{E}_0(u_\lambda) = (\Delta-\Delta_0+\partial_t^2)\mathcal{E}_0(u_\lambda) + (-\partial_t^2+\Delta_0)(\mathcal{E}_0(u_\lambda)),$$

Note that the leading coefficients of $(\Delta_0-\Delta-\partial_t^2)$ are bounded by a constant times t, as our collar neighbourhood is C^2. Note further that for every $0<s\leq k+2$, there is a C_s such that for every λ, $\|u_\lambda\|_s\leq C_s\lambda^s$. Hence

$$\|X^k(\Delta_0-\Delta)\mathcal{E}_0(u_\lambda)(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\partial\mathcal{M})} \leq C_k(te^{-\lambda t}\lambda^{k+2}+e^{-\lambda t}\lambda^{k+1}).$$

We will show that $\Delta\mathcal{E}_0$ extends to a bounded map from $H^{s+1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^s(\mathcal{M})$, and consequently by inverting Δ on $H_0^1(\mathcal{M})$ and applying standard elliptic theory, we get that $\Delta^{-1}(\Delta\mathcal{E}_0) : H^{s+1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^{s+2}(\mathcal{M})$. Now we can take the normal derivative to get

$$\partial_\nu\Delta^{-1}(\Delta\mathcal{E}_0) : H^{s+1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^{s+1}(\mathcal{M}),$$

finally taking the trace yields a map $H^{s+1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^{s+1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$. Lastly because $\Delta^{1/2}$ and Λ are selft adjoint it follows that R is self adjoint and hence bounded for $s \in [-k-1/2, k+1/2]$. □

Lemma 4. *Let $k \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a C^{k+2} manifold. Let $g \in C^{k-1,1}$ be a metric tensor on $\mathcal{M}$, then the Dirichlet–Neumann map $\Lambda_g : H^{s+1}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^s(\partial\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^{n-1}\partial\mathcal{M})$ is a bounded invertible map $H^s(\partial\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{C} \to H^{s-1}/\mathcal{C}$ for $s \in [1/2, k+1/2]$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the space of constant functions.*

Proof. We note that

$$\Lambda_g(f)(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}(f) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}(f) = \|d\mathcal{E}(f)\|_2^2$$

Let $u = \mathcal{E}(f)$, and let $u_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote its average over $\mathcal{M}$. By the Poincaré inequality for $\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\|u - u_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathcal{M}} du \wedge \star_g du = C\Lambda_g(f)(f).$$

Furthermore letting η denote the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta\eta = (\int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1} \qquad \eta|_{\partial|M} = 0,$$

we see that $u_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} f i^* \star_g d\eta$, and hence

$$\|u\|_1^2 \leq C[\|f\|_0^2 + \Lambda(f)(f)].$$

Then noting that the trace operator is bounded from $H^1(\mathcal{M}) \to H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$ yields

$$\|f\|_{1/2}^2 \leq C[\|f\|_{-1/2}^2 + \Lambda(f)(f)]$$

$$\|f\|_{1/2} \leq C[\|f\|_{-1/2} + \|\Lambda(f)\|_{-1/2}]$$

Consequently Λ_g has a discrete spectrum. The kernel of Λ_g is given by the constant functions, otherwise the double extension on the doubled manifold is a non-constant harmonic function. Hence there is a spectral gap and Λ is invertible on the orthogonal complement of the constant functions. If $k = 0$ then we are done.

For $k \geq 1$ consider $[\Lambda, \Delta_0^{\sigma/2}]$. This is equal to $[R, \Delta_0^{\sigma/2}]$, which is a bounded map $H^s \to H^{s-\sigma}$ for $s \in [0, k+1/2]$, and $\sigma/2 \in [0, k+1/2]$. So we can apply the preceding to yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_0^{\sigma/2} f\|_{1/2} &\leq C\|\Delta_0^{\sigma/2} f\|_{-1/2} + \|\Delta_0^{\sigma/2}\Lambda(f)\|_{1/2} + \|[\Lambda, \Delta_0^{\sigma/2}]f\|_{-1/2} \\ &\leq C[\|f\|_{\sigma-1/2} + \|\Lambda(f)\|_{\sigma-1/2}]\end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$\|f\|_{s+1/2} \leq C[\|f\|_{s-1/2} + \|\Lambda(f)\|_{s-1/2}]$$

for $s \in [0, k-1/2]$. Consequently we can deduce that the eigenfunctions of $\Lambda \in H^s(\partial\mathcal{M})$ for $s \in [0, k+1/2]$, and Λ has a bounded inverse $H^{s-1} \to H^s$. □

Lemma 5. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $g \in C^{k,1}$, $\eta \in C^{k+1,1}(\partial\mathcal{M})$, then $[\Lambda, \eta] : H^s \to H^s$ for $s \in [0, k+1]$*

This is a classical result in pseudodifferential operators [17] but results with less than C^∞ regularity are hard to find, hence we prove it here.

Proof. The bulk of the proof is to show that $\Delta_0^{1/2}\eta - \eta\Delta_0^{1/2}$ is bounded from $H^s \to H^s$. This relies on the fact that Dirichlet Neumann map can be expressed as

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Delta_0^{1/2}(u)v \, d\mathrm{vol} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}(u) \wedge d\mathcal{E}(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d(\mathcal{E}(u)+h) \wedge \star d\mathcal{E}(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}(u) \wedge \star d(\mathcal{E}(v)+h') \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the harmonic extension operator, and h and h' are arbitrary $W_0^{1,2}$ functions. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{N}} \Delta_0^{1/2}(\eta u_\lambda)u_\mu - \eta\Delta_0^{1/2}(u_\lambda)u_\mu \, d\mathrm{vol} &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} \eta u_\lambda \Delta_0^{1/2}(u_\mu) - \Delta_0^{1/2}(u_\lambda)\eta u_\mu \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{N}} \int_0^\infty d(\eta e^{-t\lambda}u_\lambda) \wedge \star d(e^{-t\mu u_\mu}) - d(e^{-t\lambda}u_\lambda) \wedge \star d(\eta e^{-t\mu}u_\mu) \\
&= \int_N \int_0^\infty d\eta \wedge \star(u_\lambda du_\mu - u_\mu du_\lambda) e^{-t(\mu+\lambda)} \, dt \\
&\leq C\|d\eta\|_\infty (\|u_\lambda\|_1 + \|u_\mu\|_1)(\lambda+\mu)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that it is bounded from $H^s \to H^s$, we consider only integer s, as fractional s follows from interpolation. We can commute with $\Delta^{s/2}$. If s is odd this is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_0^{s/2}[\Delta_0^{1/2}, \eta] &= \eta\Delta_0^{(s+1)/2} + [\Delta_0^{(s+1)/2}, \eta] - \Delta_0^{s/2}\eta\Delta_0^{1/2} \\
&= [\eta, \Delta_0^{1/2}]\Delta_0^{s/2} + [\Delta_0^{(s+1)}/2, \eta] + \Delta_0^{1/2}[\Delta_0^{(s-1)/2}, \eta]\Delta_0^{1/2} \\
&= [\eta, \Delta_0^{1/2}]\Delta_0^{s/2} + B
\end{aligned}$$

where B is bounded $H^s \to H^0$. If s is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_0^{s/2}[\Delta_0^{1/2}, \eta] &= \Delta_0^{1/2}\eta\Delta_0^{s/2} + \Delta_0^{1/2}[\Delta_0^{s/2}, \eta] - \eta\Delta_0^{1/2}\Delta_0^{s/2} + [\Delta_0^{s/2}, \eta]\Delta_0^{1/2} \\
&= [\Delta_0^{1/2}, \eta]\Delta_0^{s/2} + B
\end{aligned}$$

where $B : H^s \to H^0$. □

Lemma 6. *For $k \geq 0$ let g be $C^{k,1}$. Let X be a derivation on $\partial\mathcal{M}$, with $C^{k,1}$ coefficients. Then*

$$[X, \Lambda] : H^s \to H^{s-1},$$

For $s \in [1/2, k]$.

Proof. Once again

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} [X, \Delta_0^{1/2}](u)(v) \, d\mathrm{vol} = &- \int_{\mathcal{N}} \Delta_0^{1/2}(u)X(v) \, d\mathrm{vol} + \int_{\mathcal{N}} \Delta_0^{1/2}(u) \operatorname{div} Xv \, d\mathrm{vol} \\
&- \int_{\mathcal{N}} X(u)\Delta_0^{1/2}(v) \, d\mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we examine the action on basis elements, extending $X(u_\lambda)$ by $e^{-\lambda t}X(u_\lambda)$. Consequently, using an orthonormal frame e_i,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} [X,\Delta_0^{1/2}](u_\lambda)(u_\mu)\,d\mathrm{vol} \\
&\qquad = -\int_0^\infty \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \lambda\mu e^{-t(\lambda+\mu)}[X(u_\lambda)u_\mu + u_\lambda(X - \operatorname{div} X)(u_\mu)]\,d\mathrm{vol}\,dt \\
&\qquad\quad - \int_0^\infty e^{-t(\lambda+\mu)} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} [d(X(u_\lambda)) \wedge \star d(u_\mu) + d(u_\lambda) \wedge \star d((X - \operatorname{div}(X))u_\mu)]\,dt \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{\lambda+\mu} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} [e_i(X(u_\lambda))e_i(u_\mu) + e_i(u_\lambda)e_i((X - \operatorname{div} X)(u_\mu))]\,d\mathrm{vol} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{\mu+\lambda} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} e_i(X(u_\lambda))e_i(u_\mu) + e_i(u_\lambda)[X(e_i)(u_\mu) + [e_i, X](u_\mu) \\
&\qquad\quad - e_i(\operatorname{div} X)u_\mu - \operatorname{div} X e_i(u_\mu)]\,d\mathrm{vol} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{\mu+\lambda} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} [e_i, X](u_\lambda)e_i(u_\mu) + e_i(u_\lambda)[e_i, X](u_\mu) - e_i(u_\lambda)u_\mu e_i(\operatorname{div} X)\,d\mathrm{vol}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $[X, \Delta_0^{1/2}]$ is bounded from $H^{1/2} \to H^{-1/2}$. Suppose k is even and consider that

$$[\Delta_0^{k/2}, [X, \Delta_0^{1/2}]] = -[\Delta_0^{1/2}, [\Delta_0^{k/2}, X]],$$

but because $X \in C^{k,1}$ $[\Delta_0^k, X]$ is a differential operator of order k, and so is bounded from $H^{k-1/2} \to H^{-1/2}$. Odd k follows as in the case of the proof of Lemma 5. □

Lemma 7. *Assume $g \in C^{k,1}$ and let $\Phi : [0, t_0] \times \partial\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a C^{k+2} map which is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Let Λ_t denote the Dirichlet–Neumann map for $\Sigma_t = \mathcal{M} \setminus \Phi([0, t] \times \partial\mathcal{M})$, and let $A_t = \varphi_t^*\Lambda_t$. Then $\partial_t A_t$ exists in the weak sense*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{1}{h}((A_{t+h} - A_t)u, v)_t = (\partial_t A_t u, v)$$

for every $u, v \in H^{1/2}$. Furthermore $\partial_t A_t$ is a bounded operator $H^{s+1} \to H^s$ for $s \in [1/2, k+1/2]$, uniformly in t.

Proof. The proof of this is adapted from a similar proof in [15]. Let $\mathcal{E}_t(f)$ denote the extension operator at Σ_t. Then consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_{t+h}(u)(v)\,d\mathrm{vol}_{t+h} - \Lambda_t(u)(v)\,d\mathrm{vol}_t \\
&\qquad = \int_{\Sigma_{t+h}} d\mathcal{E}_{t+h}(u) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}_{t+h}(v) - \int_{\Sigma_t} d\mathcal{E}_t(u) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}_t(v) \\
&\qquad = \int_{\Sigma_{t+h}} \Big[d\mathcal{E}_{t+h}(u - \mathcal{E}_t(u)|_{\Sigma_{t+h}}) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}_{t+h}(v) \\
&\qquad\qquad + d\mathcal{E}_t(u) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}_{t+h}(v - \mathcal{E}_t(v)|_{\Sigma_{t+h}}) \Big] \\
&\qquad\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t \setminus \Sigma_{t+h}} d\mathcal{E}_t(u) \wedge \star_g d\mathcal{E}_t(v).
\end{aligned}$$

If we divide by h and let h tend to 0. we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} (\partial_t\Lambda_t(u)(v))\, d\mathrm{vol}_t - \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_t(u)(v)\gamma_t\, d\mathrm{vol}_t \\ = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_t(-\partial_\tau(\mathcal{E}_t(u)(\varphi_\tau(x))(v) + \Lambda_t(u)(-\partial_\tau\mathcal{E}_t(v)(\varphi_\tau(x))\, d\mathrm{vol}_t \\ - \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \eta_t\Delta_t(u)(v) + g(d\eta_t, du)v + \eta_t\Lambda_t(u)\Lambda_t(v)\, d\mathrm{vol}_t,\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_t d\mathrm{vol}_t = \partial_t d\mathrm{vol}_t$. We note that $\partial_\tau\mathcal{E}_t(u)(\varphi_\tau(x)) = -\eta_t\Lambda_t(u) - X_t(u)$, and Λ_t is a self adjoint operator for $d\mathrm{vol}_t$:

$$\partial_t\Lambda_t = \eta_t(\Lambda_t^2 - \Delta_t) + [\Lambda_t, \eta_t]\Lambda_t + [\Lambda_t, X_t] + \operatorname{div} X_t\Lambda_t + \gamma_t\Lambda_t.$$

Thus we need only apply Lemmas 3, 5 and 6 to yield the result. □

Lemma 8. *Let g_1 and g_2 be two bounded measurable Riemannian metrics, and let Λ^1 and Λ^2 denote their respective Dirichlet–Neumann maps. Then*

$$(\Lambda^1 - \Lambda^2)(u)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge (\star^1 - \star^2) d\mathcal{E}^2(v) + (\Lambda^1_{\Sigma_1} - \Lambda^2_{\Sigma_1})(\mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\Sigma_1})(\mathcal{E}^2(v)|_{\Sigma_1}),$$

where $\Lambda^i_{\Sigma_1}$ is the Dirichlet–Neumann map for g_i restricted to the submanfold Σ_1.

Proof. First

$$\Lambda^i(u)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}^i(u) \wedge \star^1 d\mathcal{E}^i(v),$$

but because $\mathcal{E}^1(u)$ is $\star^1$ harmonic for any $h \in H^1_0(\mathcal{M})$,

$$\Lambda^1(u)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge \star^1 d(\mathcal{E}^1(v) + h).$$

As such because $\mathcal{E}^2(v) - \mathcal{E}^1(v) \in H^1_0$, we arrive at

$$\Lambda^1(u)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge \star^1 d\mathcal{E}^2(v).$$

Similarly

$$\Lambda^2(u)(v) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge \star^2 d\mathcal{E}^2(v).$$

When we take the difference we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}(\Lambda^1 - \Lambda^2)(u)(v) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge (\star^1 - \star^2) d\mathcal{E}^2(v) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge (\star^1 - \star^2) d\mathcal{E}^2(v) + \int_{\Sigma_1} d\mathcal{E}^1(u) \wedge (\star^1 - \star^2) d\mathcal{E}^2(v).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from realising that the harmonic extension with respect to g of $\mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\Sigma_1}$ is equal to $\mathcal{E}^1(u)$ on Σ_1, and likewise for $\mathcal{E}^2$. □

Lemma 9. *Let g be a $C^{0,1}$ metric on $\mathcal{M}$ and let h be a bounded measurable metric on Σ_1. Let $\mathcal{E}^1 : H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^1(\mathcal{M})$ denote the harmonic extension operator for g and let $\mathcal{E}^2$ denote that for $g + \chi_{\Sigma_1}(h - g)$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1}$ denote the harmonic extension operator on $\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1$ with prescribed values on $\partial\Sigma_1$ and zero on $\partial\mathcal{M}$, and let $\Lambda^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1}$ denote the corresponding Dirichlet–Neumann map $H^{1/2}(\partial\Sigma) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Sigma)$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}^2(v)|_{\Sigma_1} = (\Lambda^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h})^{-1}(\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g} + \Lambda^{0,1}_g)(\mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\Sigma_1})$$

where $\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g}$ is the Dirichlet–Neumann map for g restricted to the submanfold Σ_1 (respectively for h).

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_g^{0,1} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Sigma_1) \to H^1(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma_1)$ denote the map which takes u to the harmonic function, with respect to the metric tensor g, which is equal to u on $\partial\Sigma_1$ and is equal to 0 on $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Similarly let $\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0} : H^{1.2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^1(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma_1)$ denote the harmonic extension operator with respect to the metric tensor g, which takes u to the harmonic function on $\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1$ equal to u on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ and equal to 0 on $d\Sigma_1$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}, \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,h} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Sigma_1) \to H^1(\Sigma_1$, denote the harmonic extension operators on Σ_1 with respect to the metric tensors g and h respectively. We identify $\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(u)$ with its extension by 0 to Σ_1. And for any function $v \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma_1)$ we denote $\mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(v) + \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}(v)$ to be the function given by $\mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(v)$ in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}(v)$ in Σ_1. similarly for $\mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(v) + \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,h}$.

It follows that

$$\mathcal{E}^1(u) = \mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(u) + \mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(u') + \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}(u')$$

where $u' = \mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\Sigma_1}$, and similarly

$$\mathcal{E}^2(v) = \mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(v) + \mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(v') + \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,h}(v').$$

For $\mathcal{E}^1$ the first derivative of $\mathcal{E}^1(u)$ are continuous, so denoting $\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}$ the outward normal of Σ_1 with respect to g, we have

$$\partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}}[\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(u) + \mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(u')] = \partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}}\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}(u').$$

While $\nu_{\Sigma,g}$ is outward normal of Σ_1 it is the inward normal of $\mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma_1$ at $\partial\Sigma_1$, so

$$\partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}}(\mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(u')) = -\Lambda_g^{0,1}(u'),$$

while

$$\partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}}(\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,g}(u')) = \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g}(u').$$

Putting these together we arrive at

$$(\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})(u') = -\partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1,g}}\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(u). \tag{7}$$

Because $\Lambda_g^{0,1}$ and $\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g}$ are both Dirichlet–Neumann operators it follows that for any equivalent $H^{1/2}$ norm, there are constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\Lambda_g^{0,1}(u')(u') \geq C_1\|u\|_{1/2}^2 \text{ and } \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g}(u')(u') \geq 0,$$

as such

$$(\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})(u')(u') \geq (C_1 + C_2)\|u'\|_{1/2},$$

And so $\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Sigma_1) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Sigma_1)$ is invertible.

For $\mathcal{E}^2$ we note the jump discontinuity at $\partial\Sigma_1$ in the coefficients slightly complicates things. However a simple inspection of the weak form of the equation yields the continuity condition

$$i^* \star^1 d(\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(v) + \mathcal{E}_g^{0,1}(v')) = i^* \star^2 d\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_1,h}(v')$$

where i^* is the restriction of forms to $\partial\Sigma_1$ (i.e. the pullback of the inclusion map $i : \partial\Sigma_1 \to \mathcal{M}$). Consequently

$$i^* \star^1 d\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(v) - \Lambda_g^{0,1}(v') = \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h}(v'),$$

and so

$$i^* \star^1 d\mathcal{E}_g^{1,0}(v) = (\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h})(v').$$

If we set $u = v$, then it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}^2(u)|_{\partial\Sigma_1} = (\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h})^{-1}(\Lambda_g^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})(\mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\partial\Sigma_1})$$

□

Lemma 10. *Let g be $C^{0,1}$, and let $\mathcal{E}^1|_{\partial\Sigma_1} : L^2(\partial\mathcal{M}, d\mathrm{vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}) \to L^2(\partial\Sigma_1, d\mathrm{vol}_{d\Sigma_1})$. It is densely defined and*

$$\mathcal{E}^1|^*_{\partial\Sigma_1} = \partial_{\nu_{\partial\mathcal{M}},g}\mathcal{E}^{0,1}_g(\Lambda^{0,1}_g + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})^{-1}$$

where $\partial_{\nu\mathcal{M},g}\mathcal{E}^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1}$ is the outward normal derivative at $\partial\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{E}^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1}$.

Proof. Recall (7) from the proof of Lemma 9. This implies

$$\mathcal{E}^1(u)|_{\partial\Sigma_1} = (\Lambda^{0,1}_g + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})^{-1}(\partial_{\nu_{\Sigma_1}}\mathcal{E}^{1,0}_g(u).$$

Consequently

$$\mathcal{E}^1|^*_{\partial\Sigma_1} = \partial_{\nu_{\partial\mathcal{M}},g}\mathcal{E}^{0,1}_g(\Lambda^{0,1}_g + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g})^{-1}.$$

□

2. The tautological evolution equation

Given $\Phi : \partial\mathcal{M}\times[0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$ a C^2 diffeomorphism onto its image, $\Phi(x,t) = \varphi_t(x)$, let $u_t = \mathcal{E}^1(u)\circ\varphi_t$, then

$$\partial_t u_t = (\varphi_t)_*\partial_t(\mathcal{E}^1(u)) = (\Pi_\nu((\varphi_t)_*\partial_t) + \Pi_{\partial\Sigma_t}(\varphi_t)_*\partial_t)\mathcal{E}^1(u))$$

$$\partial_t u_t = -\eta_t A_t u_t + X_t u_t,$$

where $\eta_t = -\varphi_t^* g((\varphi_t)_*\partial_t, \nu)$ and $X_t = (\varphi_t^{-1})_*\Pi_{\Sigma_t}(\phi_t)_*\partial_t$. By the transversality of ∂_t to $\partial\Sigma_t$, $\eta_t \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for every t and x. Furthermore η is $C^{0,1}$, and bounded away from 0, so $\eta^{1/2}$ is also $C^{1,1}$. A_t is the Dirichlet–Neumann operator for Σ_t conjugated with φ_t. It is self adjoint with respect to $\varphi_t^* d\mathrm{vol}_{g,\partial\Sigma_t}$, and bounded and invertible from $H^{s+1} \to H^s$ for $s \in [1/2, 5/2]$. This observation was noted in [15].

$$\partial_t u_t = -\eta_t^{1/2} A_t \eta_t^{1/2} u_t + \eta_t^{1/2}[\eta_t^{1/2}, A_t]u_t + X_t u_t.$$

Let $B_t = \eta_t^{1/2} A_t \eta_t^{1/2}$, $S_t = \eta_t^{1/2}[\eta_t^{1/2}, A_t]$ By Lemma 7 $\partial_t B_t = \dot\eta_t \eta_t^{-1/2} A_t \eta_t^{1/2} + \eta_t^{1/2}\dot A_t \eta_t^{1/2} + \eta_t^{1/2} A_t \eta^{-1/2}\dot\eta_t$. Consequently it is bounded $H^{s+1} \to H^s$ for $s \in [1/2, 3/2]$.

Because $\eta_t \in C^{1,1}$ multiplication by η is a bounded map $H^s \to H^s$ for $s \in [0,2]$. In particular by Lemma 4

$$\langle B_t u_t, B_t u_t\rangle = \|B_t u_t\|_0^2 \sim \|u_t\|_1^2 - \|u_t\|_0^2.$$

and

$$\langle B_t u_t, u_t\rangle = \langle A_t \eta_t^{1/2} u_t, \eta_t^{1/2} u_t\rangle \sim \|\eta_t^{1/2} u_t\|_{1/2}^2 - \|\eta_t u_t\|_0^2 \sim \|u_t\|_{1/2}^2 - \|u_t\|_0^2.$$

We note that the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ for functions on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ obviously depends on the choice of measure, and that

$$\partial_t\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_t = \langle\gamma_t\cdot,\cdot\rangle.$$

This can all be formally codified into the following lemma

Lemma 11. *Let $\Phi : \partial\mathcal{M}\times[1,1] \to \mathcal{M}$ be C^3 Let g be $C^{1,1}$. For any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$, let $f_t = \phi_t\mathcal{E}_1(f)$. Then $f \in H^1([0,1], H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}), H^1(\partial\mathcal{M}), L^2(\partial\mathcal{M}))$ and satisfies*

$$\partial_t f(t) = -B_t f_t + X_t f_t + S_t,$$

where B satisfies

$$\langle B_t u, B_t u\rangle_t \sim \|u\|_1^2 - C\|u\|_0^2$$

$$\langle B_t^{*_t} u, v\rangle_t = \langle u, B_t v\rangle_t$$

$$\langle B_t u, u\rangle \sim \|u\|_{1/2}^2 - C\|u\|_0^2$$

$$\dot B_t : H^1 \to H^0$$

X_t is a derivation with uniformly Lipschitz coefficients.

$$S_t : H^1 \to H^1,$$

all uniformly in t.

Proof. The only point not mentioned is that $f_t \in H^1([0,1], H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}), H^1(\partial\mathcal{M}), L^2(\partial\mathcal{M}))$, *cf.* [18, §23.6] for the formal definition. However, by the Lipschitz continuity of g, $f \in H^2(\mathcal{M})$ and so $\partial_t f \in H^1(\mathcal{M})$ and $\partial_t f_t \in L^\infty([0,1], H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}))$. □

Lemma 12. *Let $f \in H^1([0,1], H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}), H^1(\partial\mathcal{M}), L^2(\partial\mathcal{M}))$. Suppose*

$$\partial_t f_t = -B_t f_t + X_t f_t + S_t f_t \tag{8}$$

where B satisfies

$$\langle B_t u, B_t u\rangle_t \sim \|u\|_1^2 - C\|u\|_0^2$$

$$\langle B_t^{*_t} u, v\rangle_t = \langle u, B_t v\rangle_t$$

$$\langle B_t u, u\rangle \sim \|u\|_{1/2}^2 - C\|u\|_0^2$$

$$\dot{B}_t : H^1 \to H^0$$

X_t is a derivation with uniformly Lipschitz coefficients.

$$S_t : H^1 \to H^1,$$

all uniformly in t. Then

$$\frac{\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t} \le e^{C_1 t}\left[\frac{\langle B_0 f_0, B_0 f_0\rangle_0}{\langle f_0, f_0\rangle_0} + \frac{C_2}{C_1}\right] - \frac{C_2}{C_1}.$$

Proof. The proof follows standard methods in monotone evolution equations [18, Theorem 23.A]. Consider

$$\lambda(t, f_0) = \frac{\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \lambda(t, f_0) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t}\big[2\langle \dot{B}_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t - 2\langle B_t^2 f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle B_t X_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t \\
&\qquad + 2\langle B_t S_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t + \langle B_t f_t, \gamma_t B_t f_t\rangle_t\big] \\
&\qquad - \frac{\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t^2}\big[\langle B_t f_t, \gamma_t f_t\rangle_t - 2\langle B_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle X_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle S_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t\big] \\
&\quad = \frac{2}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t^2}\overbrace{\big[-\langle B_t^2 f_t B_t f_t\rangle_t \langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t + \langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t \langle B_t f_t, f_t\rangle\big]}^{I_1} \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t}\overbrace{\big[2\langle \dot{B}_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t + \langle B_t f_t, \gamma_t B_t f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle B_t X_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle B_t S_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t\big]}^{I_2} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\lambda(t, f_0)}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle}\underbrace{\big[\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t + \langle f_t, \gamma_t f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle \mathrm{div}_t\, X_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t + 2\langle S_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t\big]}_{I_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim $I_1 \le 0$, $|I_2| \le C_1(\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle_t + \langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t)$ and $|I_3| \le C_2\langle f_t, f_t\rangle_t$. For notational efficiency we temporarily drop any mention of the parameter t.

First we show that $I_1 \leq 0$. The operator B is a self-adjoint operator for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with compact resolvent, consequently it induces an eigenspace decomposition of L^2 into eigenfunctions u_i with eigenvalues λ_i Let $a_i = \langle f, u_i \rangle$ then

$$I_1 = -\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^3 |a_i|^2 \Big) \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2 \Big) + \Big(\sum \lambda_i^2 |a_i|^2 \Big) \Big(\sum \lambda_i |a_i|^2 \Big).$$

We multiply by

$$\Big(\sum \lambda_i^2 |a_i|^2 \Big) \Big(\sum \lambda_i |a_i|^2 \Big).$$

The coefficients $|a_i|^2$ give us weights for a sequence space ℓ^2, which contains the sequences $\lambda_i^{3/2}$, $\lambda_i^{1/2}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\sum \lambda_i^2 |a_i|^2 \Big) \Big(\sum \lambda_i |a_i|^2 \Big) I_1 &= -\|\lambda_i^{3/2}\|^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)} \|\lambda_i^1\|^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)} \|\lambda_i^{1/2}\|^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)} \|1\|^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)} \\ &\quad + \langle \lambda_i^{3/2}, \lambda_i^{1/2} \rangle^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)} \langle \lambda_i, 1 \rangle^2_{(\ell^2, |a_i^2|)} \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for the $(\ell^2, |a_i|^2)$ inner product.

We now show that $I_2 \leq C_1(\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle + \langle f_t, f_t \rangle)$. The bound for all of the terms save $\langle B_t X_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle$ follow directly from the H^1 boundedness assumptions.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_t X_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle &= \langle [B_t, X_t] f_t, B_t f_t \rangle_t + \langle X_t B_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle_t \\ &= \langle [B_t, X_t] f_t, B_t f_t \rangle_t - \langle \operatorname{div}_t X_t B_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle B_t X_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle| &\leq \|[B_t, X_t]\| \|f_t\|_1 \|B_t f_t\|_0 + \|\operatorname{div}_t X_t\| \|f_t\|_1^2 \\ &\leq C(\langle B_t, B_t \rangle + \langle f_t, f_t \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly the term $I_3 \leq C_2 \langle f_t, f_t \rangle$, but this is straightforward.

Now we have that

$$\partial_t \lambda(t, f_0) \leq C_1 \lambda(t, f_0) + C_2,$$

so by Grönwall's inequality

$$\lambda(t, f_0) \leq e^{C_1 t} \left[\frac{\langle B_0 f_0, B_0 f_0 \rangle_0}{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_0} + \frac{C_2}{C_1} \right] - \frac{C_2}{C_1}.$$

□

Lemma 13. *Let B_t, X_t S_t,$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_t$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 12. Suppose $\langle B_0 f_0, B_0 f_0 \rangle \leq \lambda \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle$. Let f_t satisfy equation* (8)*. Then*

$$\|f_t\|^2_{1/2} \geq e^{-(C_2 \lambda + C_3)t} \|f_0\|_1.$$

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t [\langle f_t, f_t \rangle + \langle B_t f_t, f_t \rangle_t] &= \langle \dot{B}_t f_t, f_t \rangle + \langle B_t f_t, \gamma_t f_t \rangle - 2 \langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t \rangle - 2 < B_t f_t, f_t \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle B_t X_t f_t, f_t \rangle + 2 \langle B_t S_t f_t, f_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\langle f_t, f_t\rangle + \langle B_t f_t, f_t\rangle_t) &\geq -C\|f_t\|_{1/2} - \frac{\langle B_t f_t, B_t f_t\rangle}{\langle f_t, f_t\rangle}\langle f_t, f_t\rangle \\ &\geq -C(\langle f_t, f_t\rangle + \langle B_t f_t, f_t\rangle) - C_2(\lambda(\langle f_t, f_t\rangle + \langle B_t f_t, f_t\rangle),\end{aligned}$$

by applying Lemma 12. The result then follows by Grönwall's inequality. □

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathcal{E}|^*_{\Sigma_1}$ denote the adjoint of the map $H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M}) \to H^{1/2}(\partial\Sigma_1)$. There is a $K \geq 0$ and a λ_0 such that for every $f \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma_1)$, $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ if $\|f\|_0^2 \leq \lambda\|f\|^2_{-1/2}$ then*

$$\|\mathcal{E}^*(f)\|^2_{-1/2} \geq e^{-K\lambda}\|f\|^2_{-1/2}.$$

Proof. The proof of this makes use of Lemmas 10 and 13. We append a cylinder $(-\infty, 0] \times \partial\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. We let the metric on $(-\infty, 0] \times \partial\mathcal{M}$, be equal to a product metric on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ after smoothly extending the metric on $\mathcal{M}$. Denote this new manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. The metric tensor on the whole manifold is thus Lipschitz. Now let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the harmonic extension from $\partial\Sigma_1$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \Sigma_1$. By similar reasoning to Lemma 9, we have that

$$\partial_{\nu\partial\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{E}^{0,1}(u) = -\Lambda^{1,0}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(u)|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}) - \Delta_0^{1/2}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}),$$

for any u. Setting $u = (\Lambda^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1})^{-1}f$, we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{E}^*(f)\|_{-1/2} = \|(1+\Delta)^{-1/2}(\Lambda^{0,1} + \Delta^{1/2})(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}(\Lambda^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1})^{-1}(f))\|^2_{1/2}.$$

$$\|(\Lambda^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1})^{-1}f\|_1^2 \leq C\lambda\|(\Lambda^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1})f\|_0^2.$$

Hence we can apply Lemma 13 to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ to yield that

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}((\Lambda^{0,1} + \Lambda_{\Sigma_1})^{-1}f)|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}\|^2_{1/2} \geq e^{-CK\lambda}\|f\|^2_{-1/2}.$$

Lastly we note that $(1+\Delta)^{-1/2}(\Lambda^{1,0} + \Delta^{1/2})$ is a bounded invertible map from $H^{1/2} \to H^{1/2}$. Giving us the result. □

Lemma 15. *There are numbers $C(\Sigma_1, g)$ and $K(\Sigma_1, g)$ such that for every $v \in H^1(\partial\Sigma_1)$, $\|v\|_{1/2} = 1$, $\|v\|_1 \leq \lambda$, there is a $w \in H^{1/2}(\partial\mathcal{M})$ such that*

$$\|v - \mathcal{E}_1(w)|_{\Sigma_1}\|^2_{1/2} = (1 - e^{-K\lambda}) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\|v - \mathcal{E}_1(w)|_{\Sigma_1}\|_1^2 \leq \lambda(1 + Ce^{-K\lambda}). \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let $A = (I + \Lambda_1)$

$$\langle A(v - \mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma^1}), v - \mathcal{E}(u)\rangle = \langle Av, Av\rangle + \langle \mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma^1}, A\mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1}\rangle - 2\langle v, A\mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1}\rangle.$$

Let $\sigma \in [-1, 1]$, then set $u = \sigma(I + \Lambda_0)^{-1}(\mathcal{E}|_{\Sigma^1})^*(Av)$. Hence

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1}, Av\rangle = \sigma\langle (I + \Lambda_0)^{-1}\mathcal{E}^*A(v), \mathcal{E}^*A(v)\rangle \geq \sigma e^{-K\lambda}\|v\|^2_{1/2}.$$

Whereas $\langle \mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1}, A\mathcal{E}(u)|_{\Sigma_1}\rangle_1 \leq C\sigma^2$, independent of the choice of v. We note that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, hence by making K bigger we can make $C^{-1} \geq e^{-K\lambda}$. Then setting $\sigma = e^{-K\lambda}$, yields (9).

For (10), let $w = \mathcal{E}(u)|_{\partial\Sigma}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle A(v-w), A(v-w)\rangle}{\langle A(v-w), v-w\rangle} \\
&\quad \leq \frac{\langle Av, v\rangle}{\langle A(v-w), v-w\rangle}\left[\frac{\langle Av, Av\rangle}{\langle Av, v\rangle} + 2\frac{\langle Av, Av\rangle^{1/2}\langle Aw, Aw\rangle^{1/2}}{\langle Av, v\rangle}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{\langle Aw, Aw\rangle}{\langle Av, v\rangle}\right] \\
&\quad \leq (1-\sigma)\left[\lambda + 2\lambda^{1/2}\left(\frac{\langle Aw, Aw\rangle}{\langle Aw, w\rangle}\frac{\langle Aw, w\rangle}{\langle Av, v\rangle}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{\langle Aw, Aw\rangle}{\langle Aw, w\rangle}\frac{\langle Aw, w\rangle}{\langle Av, v\rangle}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12 we know that

$$\frac{\langle Aw, Aw\rangle}{\langle Aw, w\rangle} \leq C\lambda,$$

And we know that $\langle Aw, w\rangle \leq (Ce^{-K\lambda})^2$, because of our choice of σ. Hence

$$\frac{\langle A(v-w), A(v-w)\rangle}{\langle A(v-w), v-w)\rangle} \leq \lambda(1 + Ce^{-K\lambda}).$$

□

3. The proofs of the main theorems

Proof of Theorem 2. The idea is a simple iteration scheme applying Lemma 15. Let $\|v_i\|_{1/2} = 1$. We construct u_i such that $\|v_i - \mathcal{E}^1(u_i)|_{\partial\Sigma_1}\|_{1/2} = (1-\mu_i)^{1/2}$ Then we set $v_{i+1} = (v_i - \mathcal{E}^1(u_i))/(1-\mu_i)^{1/2}$. Then

$$\frac{\|v_{i+1}\|_1^2}{\|v_{i+1}\|_{1/2}^2} \leq \lambda_{i+1},$$

Where

$$\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i(1 + Ce^{-K\lambda_i}),$$

and $\mu_i = e^{-K\lambda_i}$. Then at step i we consider

$$\|v - \mathcal{E}(\tilde{u}_i)\|_{1/2}^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{i}(1-\mu_k),$$

where

$$\tilde{u}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i}\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}(1-\mu_j)^{1/2}u_k.$$

Recall that $\|u_i\| \leq C\|v_i\| \leq C$, so

$$\|\tilde{u}_i\| \leq C\sum_{k=1}^{i}\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}(1-\mu_j)^{1/2}.$$

First we show that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{i}(1-\mu_k)$$

tends to zero, as i tends to infinity. To do this, consider the logarithm

$$\log\left(\prod_{k=1}^{i}(1-\mu_k)\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{i}\log(1-\mu_k) \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{i}\mu_k$$

Now we need to determine lower bounds for μ_k. We examine

$$K\lambda_{k+1} = K\lambda_k(1+Ce^{-K\lambda_k}).$$

Setting $\sigma_k = K\lambda_k$, we can observe that this is the Euler scheme for approximating the differential equation

$$f'(t) = Cf(t)e^{-f}.$$

This has as its solution

$$f(t) = \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ct+D)),$$

where li is the logarithmic integral

$$\mathrm{li}(t) = \int_2^t \frac{1}{\log(\tau)}\,d\tau.$$

$$(\mathrm{li}^{-1})'(t) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{li}'(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t))} = \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t)).$$

So we claim

$$\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ck+\mathrm{li}(\exp(\sigma_0)))) \leq \sigma_k \leq \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ck+\mathrm{li}(\exp(\sigma_0)))) + 1$$

And the proof is by induction. Let $\theta = \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+D))$. Assume $\theta e^{-\theta} \leq (12C)^{-1}$, let $h = y - \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+D)) \geq$, let $y' = y + Cye^{-y}$, and let $h' = y' - \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+C+D))$. Because $\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(\cdot))$ is a concave function

$$\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+C+D)) \leq \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+D)) + \frac{\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+D))}{\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+D)}C \leq \theta + C\theta e^{-\theta}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h' &\geq h + Ce^{-y}y - C\theta e^{-\theta} \\ &= h + Ce^{-y}(y-\theta) + C(e^{-(y-\theta)}-1)e^{-\theta}\theta \\ &\geq h(1+Ce^{-y}-Ce^{-\theta}\theta) \\ &\geq h/2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We let $w = CK$ and $y = \sigma_k$, it follows that

$$\sigma_{k+1} \geq \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(C(k+1)+D))$$

For the upper bound, we once again use the fact that $\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t))$ is concave, and that

$$(\log\circ\,\mathrm{li}^{-1})''(t) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t)^2}\left[\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t)) - \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(t))^2\right]$$

Consequently,

$$\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(w+C+D) \geq \theta + C\theta e^{-\theta} - \theta(\theta-1)e^{-2\theta}C^2.$$

So

$$h' \leq h + Che^{-y} - C(1-e^{-h})\theta e^{-\theta} + \theta(\theta-1)e^{-2\theta}C^2.$$

If $h \geq 3\theta e^{-\theta}C$, then

$$h' \leq (h + Ce^{-\theta}h - Ch\theta e^{-\theta}/2 + Ch\theta e^{-\theta}/3 \leq h(1+C(e^{-\theta}(1-\theta/6)) < h \leq 1.$$

If $h \leq 3\theta e^{-\theta} C$, then

$$h' \leq 1/4 + 1/4 + 1/8 + 1/4 < 1.$$

So once again if $\sigma_k = y$ then $\sigma_{k+1} = y'$ and if

$$\sigma_k \leq \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ck + D)) + 1$$

, then

$$\sigma_{k+1} \leq \log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(C(k+1) + D)) + 1.$$

Consequently, to get towards the initial induction step, set

$$\sigma_0 = \max\{K\|v\|_1^2/\|v\|_{1/2}, (e^x/x)^{-1}(12C))\}.$$

Because $D = \mathrm{li}(\exp(\sigma_0))$, we have that $y_0 = \sigma_0$, and hence by induction the upper and lower bounds follow.

Now $\prod_{k=1}^{i}(1-\mu_k) \leq \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{i}(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ck+D))^{-1})$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{i}(1-\mu_k) &\leq \exp(-\int_0^i \frac{1}{\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ct+D)}\,dt \\
&\leq \exp\left(-C\int_{\mathrm{li}^{-1}(D)}^{\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ci+D)} \frac{ds}{s\log(s)}\right) \\
&\leq \exp(-[\log\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ci+D) - \log(\sigma_0)] \\
&\leq \sigma_0 \frac{1}{\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ci+D))},
\end{aligned}$$

by setting $s = \mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ct+D)$, the $ds = C\log(s)dt$. Now for $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$i = \mathrm{li}(\exp(((\sigma_0/\varepsilon)) - \mathrm{li}(\exp(\sigma_0)))/C$$

And consequently

$$\|\tilde{u}_i\|^2 \leq C\sum_{k=1} \frac{\sigma_0}{\log(\mathrm{li}^{-1}(Ck+D))} \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^{(\sigma_0/\varepsilon)} (e^t/t) \leq e^{(\sigma_0/\varepsilon)^\alpha - 2\log(\sigma_0)}.$$

□

Lemma 16. *t Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}$ be compact C^2-smooth manifolds with boundary. There exist numbers and $r_0, c > 0$ such that for every $x \in \partial\Sigma$ there is a map $\Phi : \partial\mathcal{M} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$ with $g(\partial_t\varphi, \nu) \geq c$, and $\partial\Sigma_1 \supset\supset B(x, r_0) \cap \partial\Sigma$.*

Proof. By the collar neighbourhood theorem we have a map $\varphi : \partial\mathcal{M} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma$ which is diffeomorphic onto its image. Now fix a point x_0 in $\partial\mathcal{M}$ and extend the path $t \mapsto \varphi(x_0, t)$ to a path $\gamma : [0,2] \to \mathcal{M}$ from x_0 to $x \in \partial\Sigma$. By the tubular neighbourhood theorem their is a tubular neighbourhood of γ in $\Sigma_1 \setminus \Sigma$ diffeomorphic to $[0,1] \times B$ where $B \subset \partial\Sigma_1$ is a neighbourhood of $\varphi(x_0, 1)$ via a map ψ. The diffeomorphism can be choosen so that for x in $B' = (x' \mapsto (\varphi(x', 1))^{-1}(B)$ the map

$$\Psi : (x,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \varphi(x,t) & t \in [0,1] \\ \psi(\varphi(x,1), t-1) & t \in [0,2] \end{cases},$$

is a C^2 diffeomorphism of $B' \times [0,2]$ onto its image. Let $\zeta : B_{n-1}(0,\varepsilon) \to B'$ be a C_2 diffeomorphism. Then we consider a monotone decreasing map $\rho : [0,\varepsilon] \to \mathbb{R}+$ equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of 0 and 0 in a neighbourhood of ε. With this we define

$$\Phi(x,t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x,t) & x \in \partial\mathcal{M} \setminus B' \\ \psi(x, 2t\rho(|\zeta^{-1}(x)| + (1-\rho(|\zeta^{-1}(x)|))t), & x \in B' \end{cases}.$$

□

Lemma 17. . *There is a number $C = C(\mathcal{M}, g, \Sigma) \geq 0$ such that for every piecewise continuous metric tensor h on Σ and every $x \in B(x', r_0/2)$ there are Lipschitz functions u_1 and v_1 supported in $B(x', r_0)$ satisfying $\|u_1\|_{1/2} = 1$ and $\|v_1\|_{1/2} = 1$, such that*

$$(\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g} - \Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h})(u_1)(v_1) \geq C\frac{|h(x) - g(x)|}{|g(x)|}.$$

Proof. The idea for this proof was adapted from the p-harmonic case [14]. Consider $d(\psi u) \wedge \star_g dv$ for $v \in H_0^1$, where ψ is a smooth cutoff, and $u \circ \varphi^{-1} = |\xi|^{-1/2} e^{\xi \cdot x} e^{-|\xi|\tau}$ where φ is some coordinate map. Suppose ψ is supported in $B(0, r_0)$ in this coordinate map. Let $\star$ be the pullback of the Euclidean Hodge star under φ, and assume $\star_g = \star$ at $\varphi^{-1}(0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_{\Sigma^1} d(\psi u) \wedge \star_g dv \right| &= \left| \int_{\Sigma^1} du \wedge \star d(\psi v) + du \wedge (\star - \star_g)\psi dv + u d\psi \wedge \star_g dv - u \wedge d \star v d\psi \right| \\ &\leq C(\|u\|_{H^1(B(0,r_0))} r_0 + 1/(|\xi| r_0) + 1/(|\xi|^{1/2} r_0^{n/2-1}))\|v\|_1.\end{aligned}$$

while $\|u\psi\|_1 \sim r_0^{(n-1)/2}$. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $H_0^1(\mathcal{M})$ to $H_0^1(\varphi^{-1}(B(0, r_0) \times [0, r_0])) \oplus \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the space of functions which are zero on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ and harmonic in $\varphi^{-1}(B_{r_0} \times [0, r_0)$. So by making $|\xi|^{1/2} r_0^{n-3/2}$ big and r_0 small, we can make $\Delta_g \psi u$ arbitrarily small in H^{-1} relative to the H^1 norm of ψu. So the H^1 difference between ψu and $\mathcal{E}(\psi u)$ is also arbtitrarily small. Hence if we divide ψu by $r_0^{(n-1)/2}$ we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} d\psi u \wedge \star_g d(\psi u) \to 1$$

as $|\xi|^{1/2} r_0^{n-3/2} \to \infty$ and $r_0 \to 0$. and hence

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_g(\psi u)(\psi u)\, d\mathrm{vol}_g \to 1.$$

By homogeneity we get that

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \Lambda_h(\psi u)(\psi u)\, d\mathrm{vol}_h \to |\xi|_h / |\xi|_g,$$

where the rate of convergence is dependent only on the Lipschitz constants of the metric tensors in a neighbourhood of x.

□

Proof of Theorem 1. We cover $\partial\Sigma$ in balls of sufficiently small radius $B(x_i, r_i)$ such that we can apply Lemma 16 on the set $\Sigma \cap B(x_i, 2r_i)$. By compactness there is a finite such collection. Suppose $|g - h|_g = |1 - h(X, X)/g(X, X)|$ for $X \in T_x\mathcal{M}$ achieves its maximum in $\partial\Sigma$ at $x \in B(x_i, r_i)$. Take u_1 and u_2 given by Lemma 17. As per Lemma 9, take $v = (\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g} + \Lambda_g^{0,1})^{-1}(\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h} + \Lambda^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1})u_2$. Let $\varepsilon = \|h(x) - g(x)\|/C''$, where $C'' = C''(K, R, g, \Sigma_1)$ will be determined later. Then let u^ε v^ε be Lipschitz approximations of u and v in $H^{1/2}$ satisfying

$$\|v - v^\varepsilon\|_{1/2} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\|v^\varepsilon\|_{1,\infty} \leq C'/\varepsilon.$$

Then there are a u_0 v_0 such that $\|\mathcal{E}^1(u_0) - u_\varepsilon\|_{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$ given by Theorem 2. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Lambda^1 - \Lambda^2)(u_0)(v_0) \\
&\quad = (\Lambda^1_{\Sigma_1} - \Lambda^2_{\Sigma_1})(\mathcal{E}^1(u_0)|_{\Sigma_1})(\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,g} + \Lambda^{0,1}_g)^{-1}(\Lambda_{\Sigma_1,h} + \Lambda^{0,1}_{\mathcal{M}\setminus\Sigma_1})\mathcal{E}^1(v_0)|_{\Sigma_1} \\
&\quad \geq (\Lambda^1_{\Sigma_1} - \Lambda^2_{\Sigma_1})(u_1)(u_2) - (\|\Lambda^1_{\Sigma_1}\| + \|\Lambda^2_{\Sigma_1}\|)\|\mathcal{E}_1 u_0|_{\Sigma_1} - u_1\|\mathcal{E}_2 v_0|_{\Sigma_1}\| \\
&\qquad - \|u_1\|\|A\|\|\mathcal{E}_1(v_0) - v\| \\
&\quad \geq C(g, \Sigma_1, K)|h - g|_g - C'(R, g, \Sigma_1, K)\varepsilon \\
&\quad \geq \frac{\|h - g|}{C(g, K, \Sigma_1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen $C''(g, K, R, \Sigma_1)$, such that $C'/C'' < C/2$ Dividing through by the upper bounds on u_0 and v_0 yields the result,

$$\|\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2\| \geq C_1\|h - g\|_g \exp(2C_2\|h - g\|_g^{-2C^3}).$$

We choose the worst constants over all i assuming $x \in B(x_i, r_i)$. Then we take the logarithm of both sides yielding

$$|\log(\|\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2\|)| \leq |\log C_1\|h - g\|_g| + 2C_2\|h - g\|_g^{-2C_3}.$$

Lastly $x^{-\beta}$ dominates $|\log(x)|$ for every β and every $x \leq R$. We subsume the $|\log C_1\|h-g\|_g|$ into the $\|h - g\|_g^{-2C^3}$ with an appropriate constant (dependent on R), to yield the main theorem. □

References

[1] Kari Astala and Lassi Päivärinta. Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 163(1):265–299, 2006.

[2] Kari Astala, Lassi Päivärinta, and Matti Lassas. Calderón's inverse problem for anisotropic conductivity in the plane. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30(1-3):207–224, 2005.

[3] Morton Brown. Locally flat imbeddings of topological manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 75:331–341, 1962.

[4] Alberto-P. Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. In *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980)*, pages 65–73. Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980.

[5] Alberto P. Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 25(2-3):133–138, 2006.

[6] Pedro Caro and Keith Rogers. Global uniqueness for the Calderón problem with lipschitz conductivities. *arXiv*.

[7] David Dos Santos Ferreira, Carlos E. Kenig, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Limiting Carleman weights and anisotropic inverse problems. *Invent. Math.*, 178(1):119–171, 2009.

[8] B.R. Epstein and K.R. Foster. Anisotropy in the dielectric properties of skeletal muscle. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, 21(1):51–55, 1983.

[9] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[10] Boaz Haberman and Daniel Tataru. Uniqueness in Calderón's problem with Lipschitz conductivities. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(3):496–516, 2013.

[11] James B. Ranck Jr. and Spencer L. BeMent. The specific impedance of the dorsal columns of cat: An anisotropic medium. *Experimental Neurology*, 11(4):451 – 463, 1965.

[12] Matti Lassas, Michael Taylor, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for complete Riemannian manifolds with boundary. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 11(2):207–221, 2003.

[13] John M. Lee. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.

[14] Mikko Salo and Xiao Zhong. An inverse problem for the p-Laplacian: boundary determination. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44(4):2474–2495, 2012.

[15] John Sylvester. A convergent layer stripping algorithm for the radially symmetric impedance tomography problem. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17(11-12):1955–1994, 1992.

[16] John Sylvester and Gunther Uhlmann. A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 125(1):153–169, 1987.

[17] Michael E. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE*, volume 100 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
[18] Eberhard Zeidler. *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/A*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. Linear monotone operators, Translated from the German by the author and Leo F. Boron.

Section de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
E-mail address: jan.cristina@epfl.ch

Department of Mathematics, Tallin University of Technology
E-mail address: lassi.paivarinta@ttu.ee